**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH AT HYDERABAD**

## HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

**Writ Petition No.25264 of 2006**

**Dated: December 06, 2006**

**Between:**

Gosala Samuel Bangaram, S/o. Late GP Prasada Rao,
E.No.207917, aged about 41 years, Conductor, APSRTC,
Musheerabad, R/o. S.R.T.37, Door No.1-7-1046/37,
Azamabad, Ramnagar, Hyderabad.

… Petitioner

And

The Depot Manager, APSRTC, Musheerabad
Depot, Hyderabad, and others.

… Respondents

## Order:

This Writ Petition has been filed questioning the charge sheet dated 18-11-2006 issued by the first respondent in proceedings No.01/535(51)/2006 and also the order dated 18-11-2006 suspending the petitioner from service.

2. Petitioner, a conductor in the APSRTC, attached to Musheerabad Depot, was issued charge sheet dated 18-11-2006 and

suspended from service by the Depot Manager, Musheerabad, framing the following charge:

> " For having failed to either report to Depot personally give message to DM: MSRD for having not arranged the relief for long time even after failure message given to Depot. Which resulting to total cancellation of service, in loss of revenues to the Corporation and also caused inconvenience to the traveling puoblic, which constitutes misconduct in terms of Reg. 28(viii) & 28 (xxxi) of APSRTC Employees (Conduct) Reg. 1963."

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has conducted the bus on time and he has informed the failure of the bus to higher authorities and hence there is no basis for suspending him from service by the impugned order. He further submits that pursuant to the charge sheet, petitioner has filed his explanation to the Depot Manager, Musheerabad Depot.

4. Whether the petitioner has performed his duty or he failed to do the same is a matter to be enquired into by the enquiry officer. The impugned order is only an order or suspension pending enquiry wherein some allegations were made against the petitioner. Though I am not inclined to interfere with the impugned order, since the petitioner has already submitted his explanation to the charge sheet, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the Writ Petition directing the respondents to complete the enquiry within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. The Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

---

**JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY.**

December 06, 2006
**MRR**